arXiv:1607.08392v1 [math.CV] 28 Jul 2016

SYNTHESABLE INVARIANT SUBSPACES

ANTON BARANOV, YURII BELOV

Abstract. We describe stably synthesable subspaces of $C^\infty(a,b)$ which is differentiation invariant. This gives a complete answer to a question posed by A. Aleman and B. Korenblum. It turns out that this problem is related to a classical problem of approximation by polynomials on the real line. We will depict an intriguing connection between these problems and the theory of de Branges spaces.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $C^\infty(a,b)$ be the space of all infinitely differentiable functions on the interval (a,b) equipped with the usual countably normed topology. A classical result of L. Schwartz [14] says that any closed linear subspace of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is translation invariant is generated by the exponential monomials $x^k e^{i\lambda x}$ it contains. Thus, the structure of all translation invariant subspaces is well understood. This property is known as *the spectral synthesis* for translation invariant subspaces. In different context it was studied by J. Delsart, L. Schwartz, J.-P. Kahane, P.Malliavin, A.F. Leontiev, V.V. Napalkov, I.F. Krasichkov-Ternovskii.

In 2000s B. Korenblum noticed that the description of *differentiation invariant subspaces* (or simply D-invariant subspaces) of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is much more complicated. First results in this direction was obtained by A. Aleman and B. Korenblum [3]. In particular, they showed that any D-invariant subspace of $C^\infty(a,b)$ with void spectrum is of the form

$$L_I = \{f \in C^\infty(a,b) : f(I) = 0\},$$

where I is some relatively closed subinterval of (a,b) (with an obvious modification in the case when I reduces to one point). In view of this it is natural to state the spectral synthesis problem for D-invariant subspaces as follows: is it true that any D-invariant subspace L such that the spectrum $\sigma(D|_L)$ is discrete satisfies

$$L = \overline{L_I + \mathcal{E}(L)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(L)$ is a linear span of exponential monomials contained in L and I is some (maximal) interval such that $L_I \subset L$ (so-called *residual interval* for L)?

This problem was posed in [3] where it was solved in the positive in the simplest situation when the set $\sigma(D|_L)$ is finite. It was further studied by A. Aleman and the authors [2] where it is shown that the answer in general is negative. Surprisingly, the answer

A. Baranov and Yu. Belov were supported by RNF grant 14-21-00035.

depends essentially on the relation between the Beurling–Malliavin density $D^{BM}(\Lambda)$, where $i\Lambda = \sigma(D|_L)$, and the size of the residual interval I.

Theorem 1.1. ([2, Theorem 1.1]) *Assume that the D-invariant subspace L has a compact residual interval I and*

$$2\pi D^{BM}(\Lambda) \neq |I|.$$

Then the spectral synthesis property (1.1) *holds.*

On the other hand, there exists a D-invariant subspace L (with Λ of critical density) such that (1.1) *fails.*

In the case when residual interval is non-compact (i.e., $I = (a, c]$ or $I = [c, b)$) or void the spectral synthesis property holds. The Beurling–Malliavin density $2\pi D^{BM}(\Lambda)$ (for the definition see [7, 12]) appears naturally in this context since it is equal to *radius of completeness* $r(\Lambda)$ of Λ

$$r(\Lambda) := \sup\{a : \{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \text{ is complete in } L^2(-a, a)\}.$$

Note that in the case when $\mathcal{E}(L)$ is dense in $L^2(0, r(\Lambda))$ or has finite codimension in this space it is easy to show that Λ is synthesable. In what follows we always assume that the system $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has infinite codimension in $L^2(0, r(\Lambda))$.

The present paper is devoted to the most intriguing situation when $2\pi D^{BM}(\Lambda) = |I|$. We are able to classify the spectra of all synthesable D-invariant subspaces "up to perturbations of arbitrarily slow growth". It turns out that the answer depends on *density of polynomials in some weighted spaces*.

Definition 1.2. *We will say that a discrete set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is synthesable if the D-invariant subspace L of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which has discrete simple spectrum Λ and non-trivial residual interval $[0, r(\Lambda)]$ is unique (and, thus, coincides with $\overline{L_{[0,r(\Lambda)]} + \mathcal{E}(L)}$).*

Since it seems to be very hard to determine whether an individual subspace of critical density is synthesable we introduce the notion of *stable synthesis*. In what follows we say that a sequence $S = \{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is *lacunary* if $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |s_{n+1}|/|s_n| > 1$. By a *lacunary canonical product* we will mean a zero genus canonical product with a lacunary zero set. For a sequence $S = \{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the symbol n_S will denote the usual counting function $n_S(t) = \#\{n : |s_n| \leq t\}$, $t > 0$.

Definition 1.3. *We will say that discrete set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ is stably synthesable if there exists a growth function $n(t)$ (i.e., an increasing function $n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty} n(t) = \infty$) such that for any lacunary set S with $n_S(t) \leq n(t)$ the set $\Lambda \cup S$ is synthesable.*

Note that in the situation of Theorem 1.1 all synthesable subspaces are in fact stably synthesable (since adding a lacunary sequence to Λ does not change its Beurling–Malliavin density).

Finally we will need the definition of stable density of polynomials in some specific weighted spaces on $\mathbb{R}$ of entire functions. Put

$$\mathfrak{E}_0(W) = \{F : F \text{ entire}, F \in L^2(W)\}.$$

The norm in the space $\mathfrak{E}_0(W)$ is inherited from $L^2(W)$. It is well-known that either $\mathfrak{E}_0(W)$ is dense in $L^2(W)$ or $\mathfrak{E}_0(W)$ is a closed subspace in $L^2(W)$.

Definition 1.4. *We will say that polynomials are stably dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(W)$ if there exists $\tilde{W}$ such that $\tilde{W}(x) \gtrsim (1+|x|)^N W(x)$ for any $N > 0$ and polynomials are dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(\tilde{W})$. We will say that polynomials are stably dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(W)$ up to codimension 1 if there exists $\tilde{W}$ as above such that the closure of polynomials in $\mathfrak{E}_0(\tilde{W})$ has the codimension at most 1.*

Now we are able to formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.5. *A discrete set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ is stably synthesable if and only if*

(i) *The product*

$$G(z) := \lim_{R\to\infty} \prod_{|\lambda|<R} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) \tag{1.2}$$

converges to an entire function G of exponential type;

(ii) *Polynomials belong to $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$ and are stably dense there up to codimension one.*

We will construct explicit examples showing that both kinds of synthesable sets exist. While the density of polynomials is a natural situation in this context, existence of synthesable Λ for which the polynomials are dense up to codimension one is nontrivial and closely related to a recent solution of the spectral synthesis problem for exponential systems in $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$ by the authors and A. Borichev [4].

In the case when the spectral synthesis holds there exists the unique D-invariant subspace with given residual interval and spectrum. It turns out that even in the case when the spectral synthesis fails the D-invariant subspaces with the same residual interval and spectrum have a chain structure.

Theorem 1.6. *Let L_1, L_2 be two D-invariant subspaces of $C^\infty(a,b)$ with common residual subspace $L_{1,I} = L_{2,I}$ (or, which is the same, with common residual interval). If*

$$\sigma(D|_{L_1}) = \sigma(D|_{L_2}),$$

then either $L_1 \subset L_2$ or $L_2 \subset L_1$.

The crucial ingredient of the proofs is the de Branges theory of Hilbert spaces of entire functions [8]. Though, at first glance the studied problem is not directly related to the de Branges theory, there exists a deep intrinsic connections between them. Note that this is the case with some other classical problems of harmonic analysis such that description of

Fourier frames [11], Beurling–Malliavin type theorems [10], approximation by polynomials [1].

Section 2 is devoted to our toolbox from de Branges theory. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove Theorems 1.5–1.6. Finally, in Section 5 we give examples of different situations when the spectral synthesis holds.

Notations. Given positive quantities $U(x)$, $V(x)$, the notation $U(x) \lesssim V(x)$ (or, equivalently, $V(x) \gtrsim U(x)$) means that there is a constant C such that $U(x) \le CV(x)$ holds for all x in the set in question. We write $U(x) \simeq V(x)$ if both $U(x) \lesssim V(x)$ and $V(x) \lesssim U(x)$. For an entire function F we denote by $\mathcal{Z}_F$ the set of its zeros (no matter what their multiplicities are).

2. De Branges theory

In this section we briefly discuss some facts from the de Branges theory which are needed for the proof of Theorem 1.5. We do not pretend to give an overview of the whole theory or of its essential part. Here we only highlight the aspects of the theory which are important for us.

2.1. **Classical theory.** All results presented here can be found in Sections 19–35 of the de Branges monograph [8] (see, also, [13]). An entire function E is said to be in the Hermite–Biehler class if $|E(z)| > |E^*(z)|$, $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, where $E^*(z) = \overline{E(\overline{z})}$. With any such function we associate the *de Branges space* $\mathcal{H}(E)$ which consists of all entire functions F such that F/E and F^*/E restricted to $\mathbb{C}_+$ belong to the Hardy space $H^2 = H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$. The inner product in $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is given by

$$(F, G)_{\mathcal{H}(E)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{F(t)\overline{G(t)}}{|E(t)|^2}\, dt.$$

There exists an equivalent axiomatic description of de Branges spaces (see [8, Theorem 23]). A nontrivial Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ whose elements are entire functions is a de Branges space $\mathcal{H}(E)$ (for some E) if and only if it satisfies the following axioms:

(A1) For every nonreal number w, the evaluation functional $F \mapsto F(w)$ is continuous;
(A2) Whenever F is in the space and has a nonreal zero w, the function $F(z)\frac{z-\bar{w}}{z-w}$ is in the space and has the same norm as F;
(A3) The function F^* belongs to the space whenever F belongs to the space and it always has the same norm as F.

In what follows we require additional assumption that for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $F(w) \neq 0$. This corresponds to the situation when E has no real zeros.

If S is an entire function which is real on $\mathbb{R}$, then $e^S\mathcal{H}(E)$ is a de Branges space (with Hermite–Biehler function $e^S E$). From now on we will identify such spaces.

The prime examples of de Branges spaces are Paley–Wiener spaces $\mathcal{P}W_a$, $a > 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}W_a$ is the space of all entire functions of exponential type at most a whose restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (with the norm inherited from $L^2(\mathbb{R})$). Equivalently, $\mathcal{P}W_a$ is the Fourier image of $L^2(-a, a)$.

It is clear that the spaces $\mathcal{P}W_a$ are ordered by inclusion (with equality of norms). This is a property of general de Branges spaces also. Namely, any de Branges space generates a chain of its de Branges subspaces

$$\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}(E)) := \{\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{H} - \text{de Branges space}, \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}(E), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(E)}\}.$$

Spaces in the chain are ordered by inclusion.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \in \mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}(E))$, then either $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2$ or $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}_1$.*

Moreover, the chain of de Branges subspaces is always regular in the following sense.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a proper de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}(E)$ has no de Branges subspace of codimension 1. Then $\dim(\mathcal{H}(E) \ominus \mathcal{H}) = \infty$.*

Note that if a de Branges space $\mathcal{H}(E)$ contains the linear space $\mathcal{P}$ of all polynomials and $\mathrm{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}(E)} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}(E)$, then $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}(E)) = \{\mathcal{P}_n : \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{\mathcal{H}(E)\}$, where $\mathcal{P}_n$ is the set of polynomials of degree at most n.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}(E)$. If codimension of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is equal to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is the domain of multiplication by z^n in $\mathcal{H}(E)$,*

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(E) : z^n f \in \mathcal{H}(E)\}.$$

Moreover, in this case for any $m < n$ there exists a de Branges subspace of codimension m (given by $\{f \in \mathcal{H}(E) : z^m f \in \mathcal{H}(E)\}$).

One of the important notions in the de Branges theory is an *associated function*.

Definition 2.4. *We will say that function G is a associate function (and write $G \in \mathrm{Assoc}(\mathcal{H}(E))$) if for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\frac{F(z)G(w) - G(z)F(w)}{z - w} \in \mathcal{H}(E)$$

whenever $F \in \mathcal{H}(E)$.

Of course we have $\mathcal{H}(E) \subset \mathrm{Assoc}(\mathcal{H}(E))$ and also $E \in \mathrm{Assoc}(\mathcal{H}(E))$.

In what follows we will often use the representation $E = A - iB$, where $A = \frac{E+E^*}{2}$, $B = \frac{E^*-E}{2i}$ are entire functions which are real on $\mathbb{R}$. We will say that the corresponding function A is *A-function of the de Branges space $\mathcal{H}(E)$*. Recall that the zero sets of A and B are interlacing and generate orthogonal systems of reproducing kernels. At least on of the sets $\mathcal{Z}_A$ or $\mathcal{Z}_B$ will be a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{H}(E)$; without loss of generality we always assume that A-function of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ has this property.

In what follows we will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a de Branges space and let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be its de Branges subspace of infinite codimension. Let A and $\tilde{A}$ be the A-functions of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ respectively. If the function A (and, hence, any element in $\mathcal{H}$) is of order at most one then $|\tilde{A}(iy)/A(iy)| = o(|y|^{-N})$, $|y| \to \infty$, for any $N > 0$.*

Proof. It follows from [8, Problem 93] that between each two zeros of $\tilde{A}$ there is at least one zero of A. Since both A and $\tilde{A}$ are canonical products of genus 0 or 1 with real zeros, it follows that $|A(iy)| \gtrsim |\tilde{A}(iy)$, $|y| \to \infty$, and either $|\tilde{A}(iy)/A(iy)| = o(|y|^{-N})$, $|y| \to \infty$, for any $N > 0$ or there exist N such that $|\tilde{A}(iy)| \gtrsim |y|^{-N}|A(iy)|$, $|y| \to \infty$. Now [8, Theorem 26] implies that for any $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and any polynomial P of degree $N + 2$ which divides F, we have $F/P \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ contains the domain of multiplication by z^{N+2} and so $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has the codimension at most $N + 2$ in $\mathcal{H}$, a contradiction. □

2.2. **Recent progress.** In this subsection several facts recently discovered by the authors are collected. The next result was used in [1].

Theorem 2.6. *Let G be an entire function with simple zeros, G^*/G be a ratio of two Blaschke products and $G \in \operatorname{Assoc}(\mathcal{H}(E))$. Put*

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\frac{G(z)}{z-w}\right\}, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_G.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}(E)$.

Proof. The proof follows easily from the axiomatic description of de Branges spaces. □

Let $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be an incomplete system in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$. Fix some canonical product G_Λ with simple zeros at Λ such that G^*_Λ/G_Λ is a ratio of two Blaschke products. Put

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi} := \{F : F \text{ entire, and } G_\Lambda F \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi\}.$$

Define the norm in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ by the formula $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}} = \|G_\Lambda F\|_{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}$. The following result was proved in [6, p. 217] using axiomatic description of de Branges spaces.

Theorem 2.7. *The space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ is a de Branges space.*

As we will see below the space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ is closely connected with invariant subspaces of $\frac{d}{dx}$ (see Section 3).

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ is "generated by" the weight $|G_\Lambda|^2$. This produces some restrictions on the structure of $\operatorname{Chain}(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi})$.

Theorem 2.8. *If $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite-dimensional de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$, then there exists a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ of infinite codimension. Moreover, there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $z^n f(z) \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. First, let us fix a function $F \in \mathcal{H}$ with infinite number of zeros. Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be such that $\{s_n\} \subset \mathcal{Z}_F$, $|s_{n+1}| > 10|s_n|$ and either $|\Im s_n - 1| > \frac{1}{4}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or $|\Im s_n| > \frac{1}{4}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$S(z) = \prod_n \left(1 - \frac{z}{s_n}\right).$$

The function S satisfies either $|S(x)| \gtrsim 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ or $|S(x+i)| \gtrsim 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $G_\Lambda F S^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Using [8, Theorem 26] we conclude that $FS^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, by analogous arguments we can prove that $z^n F(z) S^{-1}(z) \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now assume that any subspace in $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H})$ has finite codimension. Then either the chain is finite or $\cap_{\mathcal{H}_1 \in \mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H})} \mathcal{H}_1 = \emptyset$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $FS^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ for any de Branges subspace $\mathcal{H}_1$ of $\mathcal{H}$. We arrive to a contradiction. □

In what follows we denote by $k_\lambda = k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}$ the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$, i.e., the cardinal sine function: $k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}(z) = \frac{\sin \pi(z-\overline{\lambda})}{\pi(z-\overline{\lambda})}$.

Theorem 2.9. *If $\mathcal{H}$ is a de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$, then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi} \ominus \mathcal{H}) \neq 2.$$

Proof. Assume the contrary. It is well known that existence of subspace of codimension 1 is equivalent to the condition $\mu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$, where μ is a Clark measure. It is easy to prove that a de Branges space contains a de Branges subspace of codimension 2 if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| d\mu(x) < \infty$ (as such subspace one should take $\mathrm{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}(E)}\{F : z^2 F \in \mathcal{H}(E)\}$).

Moreover, in this situation in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ there exists a complete and minimal system of reproducing kernels $\{k_t\}_{t\in T}$ such that the biorthogonal system $\frac{G_T(z)}{G_T'(t)(z-t)}$ has codimension at least 2 (see [5, Proposition 9.1]).

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Lambda \cap T = \emptyset$. Put

$$\mathcal{MS} := \{k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \cup \left\{\frac{G_\Lambda G_T}{z-t}\right\}_{t\in T}.$$

This is so called *mixed system* in Paley–Wiener space. First of all we note that the system $\mathcal{S} := \{k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda\cup T}$ is complete and minimal in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Indeed, if $H \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi \setminus \{0\}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{S}$, then $G_\Lambda G_T S \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ for some entire S. Hence, function $G_T S$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and is orthogonal to $\{k_t\}_{t\in T}$.

Let $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ $(H_1 \neq cH_2)$ be such that

$$H_{1,2} \perp \left\{\frac{G_T(z)}{z-t}\right\}.$$

Put $F_1 = G_\Lambda H_2$, $F_2 = G_\Lambda H_2$. Then we have $F_{1,2} \perp \mathcal{MS}$ in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. This contradicts Theorem 1.1 in [4]. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

3.1. **Preliminary steps.** We will assume that $I = [-\pi, \pi]$. Since system $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has infinite codimension in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ we have $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}) = \infty$.

Recall that the continuous linear functionals on $C^\infty(a, b)$ are compactly supported distributions of finite order on the interval (a, b) (i.e., distributions of the form $\varphi = f^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $f \in L^1_{loc}(a, b)$ and differentiation is understood in the sense of distributions). We denote this class by $S(a, b)$. As usual we can define the Fourier transform of $\varphi \in S(a, b)$ by the formula

$$\hat{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(e^{iz}).$$

Since φ has finite order, the function $\hat{\varphi}$ is an entire function of finite exponential type with at most polynomial growth on the real line.

Put

$$L_0 = \overline{L_I + \mathcal{E}(L)},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\pi = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^k (f_k(z) + c_k), \quad f_k \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi,\ c_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

We consider the annihilators $L^\perp$ and $L_0^\perp$ in the dual space $S(a, b)$. It is clear that any $\varphi \in L_0^\perp$ should be supported by the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, and so

$$\widehat{L_0^\perp} = \{F : F \in \mathcal{E}_\pi, \quad F\big|_\Lambda = 0\}.$$

So, the equality $L = L_0$ is equivalent to the equality $L^\perp = L_0^\perp$ or to $\widehat{L^\perp} = \widehat{L_0^\perp}$.

The following proposition was proved in [2]. We include a sketch of the proof for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 3.1. *If $L \neq L_0$, then there exist entire functions E and T and a function $F \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ such that $G_\Lambda E, G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, $\mathcal{Z}_E \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_\Lambda(x)E(x)}{x-w} \overline{F(x)} dx = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_E, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x) T(x) \overline{F(x)} dx \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Consider a distribution $\varphi \in L^\perp \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{conv}\operatorname{supp}\varphi = [-\pi, \pi]$ (such φ exists by the maximality of I). Then we have, for some entire function E (which is not a polynomial),

$$\hat{\varphi} = G_\Lambda(z)E(z),$$

where G_Λ is some canonical product with zero set Λ such that $G_\Lambda(z)/G^*_\Lambda(z)$ is a ratio of two Blaschke products. In addition we will assume that $\mathcal{Z}_E \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and E has no multiple zeros. By [3, Proposition 3.1], the class $\widehat{L^\perp}$ is closed under dividing out zeros, that is,

$$\frac{G_\Lambda(z)E(z)}{z-w} \in \widehat{L^\perp}, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_E.$$

Thus, $\frac{G_\Lambda(z)E(z)}{z-w} = \hat{\varphi}_w(z)$ for some $\varphi_w \in L^\perp$. We can assume that $G_\Lambda E \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ because we can always start with the function $\frac{E(z)}{(z-w_1)\dots(z-w_n)}$ in place of E.

Suppose that $\widehat{L^\perp} \neq \widehat{L_0^\perp}$. Then there exist $\psi \in L_0^\perp$ and an entire function T such that $\hat{\psi} = G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$ and $G_\Lambda T \notin \widehat{L^\perp}$. Furthermore, there exists a function $f \in C^\infty([-\pi,\pi])$ such that

$$(\varphi_w, f) = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_E, \quad \text{but} (\psi, f) \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Here (Φ, f) denote the application of $\Phi \in S(a,b)$ to f.

Note that in the case when Φ is a usual function (not a distribution) supported by the interval $[-\pi,\pi]$ we can assume without loss of generality that f is zero outside $[-\pi,\pi]$ and we have the equality $(\Phi, f) = (\widehat{\Phi}, \hat{f})$ where the last duality is the usual inner product in the Paley–Wiener space $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Thus, if $G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ (recall that $G_\Lambda E \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$) we can rewrite conditions (3.2) as the system (3.1) with $F = \hat{f}$. □

Note that we also have $G_\Lambda(z)E(z)z^n \in \widehat{L^\perp}$. So,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_\Lambda(x)E(x)x^n}{x-w}\overline{F(x)}dx = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_E, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)T(x)\overline{F(x)}dx \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $G_\Lambda(z)E(z)z^n \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$.

3.2. **Sufficient conditions for synthesability.** The following two propositions will play the key role in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 3.2. *Let the sequence Λ be such that $r(\Lambda) = 2\pi$ and the system $\{k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has infinite codimension in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. If the polynomials belong to the de Branges space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and are dense there, then Λ is synthesable.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. From (3.1) we conclude that the mixed system

$$\mathcal{MS} := \{k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \cup \left\{\frac{G_\Lambda E}{z-w}\right\}_{w\in\mathcal{Z}_E}$$

is not complete in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Hence, there exists a nonzero $H \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, $H \perp \mathcal{MS}$. So, H is of the form $G_\Lambda H_1$, $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and using (3.3) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |G_\Lambda(x)|^2 x^n \frac{E(x)}{x-w}\overline{H_1(x)}dx = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{Z}_E. \tag{3.4}$$

Put

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}}\left\{\frac{E(z)}{z-w}\right\}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.6, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a de Branges subspace of the space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$. Hence, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{P}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (by $\mathcal{P}_n$ we denote the space of polynomials of degree at most n).

Since $H_1 \perp z^n\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$, we conclude that $H_1 \perp \mathcal{P}$, and so $H_1 = 0$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let the sequence Λ be such that $r(\Lambda) = 2\pi$ and the system $\{k_\lambda^{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has infinite defect in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. If de Branges space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ has de Branges subspace of codimension 1 which is contains polynomials as a dense subset, then Λ is synthesable.*

Proof. Step 1. Consider the linear space

$$\mathcal{H}_{alg} = \operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{\frac{Q(z)}{z-w}:\ G_\Lambda Q \in \widehat{L^\perp}, w \in \mathcal{Z}_Q\right\} \cup \left\{z^n Q(z):\ G_\Lambda Q \in \widehat{L^\perp},\ z^n G_\Lambda Q \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi\right\}\right).$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{alg} \subset \widehat{L^\perp}$. Assume that L is not synthesable. Then, by Proposition 3.1, there exists a function $F = \hat{f}$, $f \in C^\infty[-\pi,\pi]$, and an entire function T such that $G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ and

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)\cdot V(x)\cdot \overline{F(x)}dx = 0, & V \in \mathcal{H}_{alg}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x) T(x)\overline{F(x)}dx \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, we can assume that $|F(x)| = o((1+|x|)^{-10})$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $|x|\to\infty$. Indeed, otherwise in place of f we can consider the function $g(t) = f(t) - \sum_{j\in J} c_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$ for some finite set J such that $g^\ell(\pm\pi) = 0$, $0 \le \ell \le 10$.

Step 2. Let H be the projection of F to $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\{k_\lambda : \lambda\in\Lambda\}\right)^\perp$. Then, by (3.5), $H \perp \mathcal{H}_{alg}$ and $H \neq 0$. We can write $H = G_\Lambda H_1$ and so $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

Put

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{alg}.$$

Using the axiomatic description of de Branges spaces it is easy to show (as in Theorem 2.6) that $\mathcal{H}$ is a de Branges space. We know that $H_1 \perp \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, we conclude $\mathcal{H}$ has codimension 1 in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

Step 3. Let A be a de Branges A-function which corresponds to the space $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\frac{A(z)}{z-w} \in \mathcal{H}$, $w \in \mathcal{Z}_A$. Then, by (3.5),

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_\Lambda(x)A(x)}{x-w}\cdot \overline{F(x)}dx = 0, & w \in \mathcal{Z}_A \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)T(x)\overline{F(x)}dx \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ and T is not a polynomial (otherwise we can replace T by $T + \frac{A(z)}{z-w_0}$).

Step 4. Now we expand $G_\Lambda T$ and F with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$G_\Lambda T = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} b_n k_n, \qquad F = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{a_n} k_n, \qquad \{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in \ell^2.$$

Moreover, since $|F(x)| = o((1+|x|)^{-10})$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $|x|\to\infty$, we have $\{a_n\} \in \ell^1$ and $\{G_\Lambda(n)A(n)a_n\} \in \ell^1$.

We can assume that $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$, $a_n \neq 0$ and $b_n \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, we can use a shifted basis $\{k_{n+\gamma}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with any $\gamma \in [0,1)$ and find a γ such that the set $\mathbb{Z}$ will have

these properties. Moreover, we can find γ such that, for some $c > 0$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(w, \mathbb{Z} + \gamma) \geq \frac{c}{|w|^2}, \qquad w \in \mathcal{Z}_T. \tag{3.7}$$

It suffices to choose c such that $2c \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Z}_T,\, w \neq 0} |w|^{-2} < 1/2$ whence the corresponding intervals considered $\mod \mathbb{Z}$ will not cover the interval $[0, 1]$. Again we will assume without loss of generality that $\gamma = 0$.

The first equation in (3.6) becomes

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{G_\Lambda(n) A(n) a_n}{w - n} = 0, \qquad w \in \mathcal{Z}_A.$$

So, for some entire function S_1, we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{G_\Lambda(n) A(n) a_n}{z - n} = \frac{A(z) S_1(z)}{\sin \pi z}. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{b_n (-1)^n}{z - n} = \frac{G_\Lambda(z) T(z)}{\sin \pi z}. \tag{3.9}$$

Comparing the residues at integer points we get

$$S_1(n) T(n) = (-1)^n a_n b_n.$$

Let W be a function from $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$ such that $W(n) = (-1)^n a_n b_n$. Then there exists an entire function U such that

$$S_1(z) T(z) - W(z) = U(z) \sin \pi z.$$

Since, by (3.8), $AS_1 \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ we have $AS_1 G_\Lambda T \in \mathcal{P}W_{2\pi}$. Hence, $UG_\Lambda A \sin \pi z \in \mathcal{P}W_{2\pi}$ and, finally $UAG_\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ and $UA \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

Step 5. Let us show that the function U is constant. Since A is of maximal growth in $\mathcal{H}$, it is easy to see that U is of zero exponential type. Assume that U has at least one zero u_0. Since $UA \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$, the function $\frac{AU}{z - u_0}$ belongs to the domain of multiplication by z in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and so $\frac{AU}{z-u_0} \in \mathcal{H}$ and vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}_A$. The space $\mathcal{H}$ has no subspaces of finite codimension and so $\mathcal{Z}_A$ is a uniqueness set for $\mathcal{H}$, a contradiction.

Step 6. So, U is a constant function. We have

$$\frac{S_1(z) T(z)}{\sin(\pi z)} = U(z) + \frac{W(z)}{\sin(\pi z)} = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a_n b_n}{z - n}.$$

In addition we know that $\kappa := (G_\Lambda T, F)_{\mathcal{P}W_\pi} = \sum_n a_n b_n \neq 0$, and $a_n = (-1)^n \overline{F(n)} = o(n^{-10})$. Then it is easy to see that for $|z - l| < 1/2$ we have

$$c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a_n b_n}{z - n} = C + \frac{\kappa}{l} + \frac{a_l b_l}{z - l} + o\Big(\frac{1}{|l|}\Big).$$

Therefore, for sufficiently big $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. there exists a unique z_l such that $|z_l - l| < 1/2$,

$$c + \sum_n \frac{a_n b_n}{z_l - n} = 0$$

and

$$z_l = l - \frac{l a_l b_l}{Cl + \kappa + o(1)} = l + o(|l|^{-9}). \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.7), such z_l can not be a zero of T when l is sufficiently large. Hence, S_1 has zeros z_l of the form (3.10) for all sufficiently large l, while T has at most finite number of zeros and is of zero type. Thus, T is a polynomial, a contradiction. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5: Sufficiency.** Now we are ready to prove the sufficiency of conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.5. If the polynomials belong to $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$, then $PG \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and so $PG \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$. We conclude that $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ (with $G_\lambda = G$). Moreover, if $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$. Proposition 3.2 implies that Λ is synthesable. Analogously, if the closure of the polynomials has codimension 1 in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$, then the closure of $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ is a de Branges subspace of codimension 1 in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$. Now, Λ is synthesable by Proposition 3.3.

Now we use that polynomials are *stably* dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$ up to codimension 1 to show that Λ is stably synthesable. By the definition of stable density, there exists a weight $W(x)$ with $W(x) \gtrsim (1 + |x|^N)$ for any $N > 0$ such that polynomials are dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2 W)$ up to codimension 1. We can find an increasing function n (with $n(t) \to \infty$) such that any lacunary canonical product with zero set S such that $n_S \le n$ satisfies $|S(z)| \lesssim W(|z|)$. By the above argument, we see that $\Lambda \cup S$ is synthesable, and thus Λ is stably synthesable.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.5: Necessity.** *Step 1.* As explained in the beginning of Subsection 3.1, we can assume that the system $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ has infinite defect in $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$. Let G_λ be some canonical product with zero set Λ such that $G_\Lambda/G^*\Lambda$ is a ratio of two Blaschke products. Moreover, multiplying, if necessary by e^{bz}, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we can achieve that the indicator function of G_Λ satisfies $h(0) = h(\pi)$. Then the corresponding space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ is infinite-dimensional. Assume that the polynomials are not dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ up to codimension 1. We will show that in this case Λ is not stably synthesable.

Step 2. First, let us show that there exists a de Branges subspace in the chain $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi})$ which is both infinite-dimensional and of infinite codimension. Note that any finite-dimensional subspace of an arbitrary de Branges space is of the form $\mathcal{P}_n e^S$ for some function S which is real on $\mathbb{R}$. In the case of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ it follows that $e^S G_\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$. By the choice of G_Λ, it follows that S is a constant. Thus, if $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$, it is of the form $\mathcal{P}_n$.

Now let $\mathcal{H}$ be some infinite-dimensional subspace from $\mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi})$. If it has an infinite codimension, we are done. If it has finite codimension, then by Theorems 2.3 and 2.9, its

codimension can be only one and also any de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ has infinite codimension in $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, any infinite-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ would do the job. Finally, note that if all de Branges subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ are finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}} \mathcal{P}$. Since $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi} \ominus \mathcal{H}) = 1$, we arrive to a contradiction.

Step 3. From now on we assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathrm{Chain}(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi})$ is both infinite-dimensional and of infinite codimension. Put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \{f \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}} : fPQ \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\}$$

for some fixed lacunary canonical product Q and for any polynomial P. Note that, by Theorem 2.8, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Now we are looking for a nontrival $T \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ such that $T \perp \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{P}T \subset \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$. Let A and $\tilde{A}$ be the A-functions of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. By Lemma 2.5, $|\tilde{A}(iy)/A(iy)|$ tends to zero faster that any polynomial as $|y| \to \infty$. Then there exists a lacunary canonical product S with $\mathcal{Z}_S \subset \mathcal{Z}_A$ such that $T := A/S \in \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and $|T| \gtrsim |\tilde{A}|$ on $i\mathbb{R}$ whence $T \notin \tilde{H}$. So,

$$G_\Lambda T \notin \overline{\mathrm{Span}}_{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}\{G_\Lambda \tilde{H}\}.$$

Hence, there exists $F \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)V(x)\overline{F(x)}dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } V \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)T(x)\overline{F(x)}dx \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now let U be an arbitrary lacunary canonical product such that $\mathrm{dist}(\mathcal{Z}_U, \mathbb{Z}) > 1$ and

$$G_\Lambda \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 U \subset \mathcal{P}W_\pi, \qquad G_\Lambda TU \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi.$$

Such U exist by the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$ and T.

Let $\tilde{F}$ be the unique solution of the interpolation problem $\tilde{F}(n) = \frac{F(n)}{U(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Then $\tilde{F}(z)U(z) - F(z) = W(z)\sin \pi z$ for some entire W. For any $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$, we have $G_\Lambda VW \sin \pi z = G_\Lambda VU\tilde{F} - G_\Lambda VF \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, whence

$$G_\Lambda \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 W \subset \mathcal{P}W_\pi, \qquad G_\Lambda TW \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi.$$

Note that if $zf \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\sin \pi x dx = (zf, \pi k_0) = 0$. So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)V(x)\overline{W(x)\sin \pi x}dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } V \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)T(x)\overline{W(x)\sin \pi x}dx = 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)U(x)V(x)\overline{\tilde{F}(x)}dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } V \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\Lambda(x)U(x)T(x)\overline{\tilde{F}(x)}dx \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $|\tilde{F}(n)|$ tends to zero faster than any polynomial. That means that $\tilde{F} \in \widehat{C^\infty[-\pi,\pi]}$. From this it is will be easy to conclude that $\Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_U$ is not synthesable (see the next step). Since U can have arbitrarily sparse zero set, it follows that Λ is not stably synthesable.

Step 4. The proof of the fact that $\Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_U$ is not synthesable is analogous to the argument in [2]. Put

$$M = \big\{ f \in L^2(-\pi,\pi) : \ \hat{f} \in G_\Lambda U \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 \big\}.$$

Each element $f \in M$ defines a continuous linear functional on $C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi)$ defined by

$$\varphi_f(h) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t)\overline{f(t)}dt, \qquad h \in C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi).$$

The functional φ_f is well defined since $f(t) \equiv 0$, $|t| \geq \pi$.

Now let

$$L = M^\perp = \{h \in C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi) : \ \varphi_f(h) = 0, \ f \in M\}.$$

By the construction, L is a closed subspace of $C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi)$ and $\{f \in C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi) : f|_{[-\pi,\pi]} \equiv 0\} \subset L$. Also, since the set of common zeros of $\widehat{L^\perp}$ coincides with $\Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_U$, we have $\sigma(D|_L) = \Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_U$.

Since the functions in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$ can be multiplied by polynomials, the subspace L is D-invariant (see [2] for details). Clearly, the function $G_\Lambda UT$ belongs to $\widehat{L_0^\perp}$. However, if we take $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(-2\pi,2\pi)$ such that $\widehat{\tilde{f}|_{[-\pi,\pi]}} = \tilde{F}$, then the linear functional $\varphi_{\tilde{f}}$ belongs to $L^\perp$, but not to $L_0^\perp$.

Step 5. Thus, we have shown that the stable synthesability implies that the polynomials belong to $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and are either dense there or dense up to codimension 1. Then it follows, in particular, that $G_\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, whence G_Λ is an entire function of exponential type (whose indicator diagram is a segment of length 2π orthogonal to the real axis) which can be represented as a principle value canonical product of the form (1.2) up to a factor e^{ibz}.

Note, however, that we need to prove a slightly stronger statement the polynomials are stably dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|G|^2)$ (or, equivalently, in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ up to codimension 1. Assume that for any weight W such that $W(x) \gtrsim (1+|x|)^N$ for any $N > 0$, the statement that the polynomials are dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(W|G|^2)$ up to codimension 1 is false. Since, for any such weight, we can find a lacunary canonical product $\tilde{U}$ such that $|\tilde{U}(z)|^2 \leq W(x)$ and $\Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{U}}$ still is a nonuniqueness set for $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$, we conclude that the statement that the polynomials are dense in $\mathfrak{E}_0(|\tilde{U}G|^2)$ up to codimension 1 is incorrect. This is, obviously equivalent to the statement that either $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda\cup\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{U}},\pi}$ does not contain the set of all polynomials, or the orthogonal complement to the polynomials in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda\cup\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{U}},\pi}$ is not one dimensional. However, in this case we already know that $\Lambda \cup \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{U}}$ is not stably synthesable. Since $\tilde{U}$ also can be chosen to have arbitrarily sparse zero set, we conclude that Λ is not stably synthesable. The proof is completed. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Without loss of generality we can assume that $[-\pi,\pi]\subset(a,b)$ and $L_1=L_2=[-\pi,\pi]$. Put

$$H_j=\{f \text{ entire }:\ fG_\Lambda\in\widehat{L_j^\perp}\cap L^2(\mathbb{R})\},\quad j=1,2.$$

Then $H_j\subset\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and $\mathcal{H}_j=\overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}}H_j$ is a de Branges subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

Assume that $L_1\not\subset L_2$ and $L_2\not\subset L_1$. Then there exist entire functions T_j, F_j, $j=1,2$ such that

$$G_\Lambda T_j\in\mathcal{P}W_\pi\cap\widehat{L_j^\perp},\qquad G_\Lambda F_j\in\mathcal{P}W_\pi,\qquad j=1,2,$$

$$\begin{cases}\int_{\mathbb{R}}G_\Lambda f\overline{G_\Lambda F_1}=0, & f\in H_1\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}G_\Lambda T_2\overline{G_\Lambda F_1}\neq 0\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\int_{\mathbb{R}}G_\Lambda f\overline{G_\Lambda F_2}=0, & f\in H_2\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}}G_\Lambda T_1\overline{G_\Lambda F_2}\neq 0.\end{cases}$$

Hence, $T_j\in H_j$, $j=1,2$ and $T_1\notin\mathcal{H}_2$, $T_2\notin\mathcal{H}_1$. This contradicts the ordered structure of de Branges subspaces in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

5. Examples

In this section we give some examples of synthesable subspaces.

We say that an entire function U of zero exponential type (which is not a polynomial) belongs to the *Hamburger class* if it is real on $\mathbb{R}$, has only real and simple zeros $\{s_k\}$, and for any $M>0$, $|s_k|^M=o(|U'(s_k)|)$, $s_k\to\infty$.

Example 5.1. *Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\setminus Z_U$, where U be an entire function of Hamburger class such that polynomials belong to the space $L^2(\sum_{n\in Z_U}\delta_n|U'(t_n)|^{-2})$ and are dense there. Then the polynomials are stably dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.*

Note that there are many such functions U, i.e., $U(z)=\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big(1-z/n^\alpha\big)$, $\alpha>2$.

Proof. Let $F\in\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ with $G_\Lambda(z)=\dfrac{\sin\pi z}{U(z)}$. Then $FG_\Lambda\in\mathcal{P}W_\pi$ and so We know that

$$F(z)G_\Lambda(z)=\frac{F(z)\sin\pi z}{U(z)}=\sin\pi z\sum_{n\in Z_U}\frac{F(n)}{U'(n)}\cdot\frac{1}{z-n}$$

by the classical Whittaker–Shannon–Kotelnikov formula. Hence,

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}}=\left\|\frac{F\sin\pi z}{U}\right\|_{\mathcal{P}W_\pi}=\pi^2\sum_{n\in Z_U}\left|\frac{F(n)}{U'(n)}\right|^2.$$

Since U is of Hamburger class, we have $G_\Lambda P \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ for any polynomial P. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi} \supset \mathcal{P}$ and for any polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$(F,P)_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}} = \pi^2 \sum_{n\in Z_U} \frac{F(n)\overline{P(n)}}{|U'(n)|^2} = \pi^2 (F,P)_{L^2(\mu_U)},$$

where $\mu_U = \sum_{n\in Z_U} \delta_n |U'(n)|^{-2}$. Thus, polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$.

Since a function in a Hamburger class remains in this class after the division by a lacunary canonical product with sufficiently sparse zeros, the polynomials are in fact stably dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$. □

The next example shows that codimension one case is also possible. This situation is much more subtle and, surprisingly, it seems to be related to the recent result from [4] which says that the spectral synthesis for exponential systems in $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$ always holds up to one-dimensional defect.

Example 5.2. *There exists Λ such that polynomials belong to $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda,\pi}$ and have codimension one there.*

Proof. Step 1. We will use the construction of nonhereditarily complete system from [4]. It was shown that there exist two sets Λ_1, Λ_2 such that the system $\{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_1\cup\Lambda_2}$ is complete and minimal in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$, while the mixed system

$$\mathcal{MS} = \{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_2} \cup \left\{ \frac{G_1(z)G_2(z)}{z-\lambda} \right\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_1}$$

has codimension one in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$ (and the defect of such mixed systems is always at most 1).

It is shown in [4] that $\mathcal{MS}$ is not complete if and only if there exist entire functions S_1 and S_2 and a sequence $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2$ such that two interpolation equations hold:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{G_1(z)S_1(z)}{\sin \pi z} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{G_1(n)G_2(n)a_n}{z-n}, \\ \frac{G_2(z)S_2(z)}{\sin \pi z} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n a_n}{z-n}. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

In this case the function G_2S_2 will belong to he orthogonal complement of the system $\mathcal{MS}$.

By construction in [4], the functions G_1 and S_2 are lacunary canonical products with real zeros. Since $G_2S_2 \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, it follows that $G_2 \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$ and G_2 decays faster than any polynomial on $\mathbb{R}$. So, polynomials belong to the space $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}$. Also note that multiplying the first equation in (5.1) by $\dfrac{z^{k+1}}{G(z)}$ we get

$$\frac{z^{k+1}S_1(z)}{\sin \pi z} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{G_2(n)n^{k+1}a_n}{z-n}.$$

Letting $z=0$ we obtain $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} G_2(n)n^k a_n = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, whence $h := G_2S_2 \perp z^k G_2$ in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Thus the polynomials are not dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}$.

Step 2. Let s_0 be an arbitrary zero of G_1. We claim that $\dfrac{G_1(z)}{(z-s_0)(z-s)} \in \mathrm{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}} \mathcal{P}$ for any $s \in \mathcal{Z}_{G_1}$, $s \neq s_0$. Assume that the claim is proved. Then we can show that

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi} \ominus \overline{\mathrm{Span}}\left\{\frac{G_1(z)}{(z-s_0)(z-s)} : \ s \in \mathcal{Z}_{G_1}, s \neq s_0\right\}\right) = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed, if $F \perp \left\{\dfrac{G_1(z)}{(z-s_0)(z-s)} : \ s \in \mathcal{Z}_{G_1}, s \neq s_0\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}$, then

$$G_2 F \perp \{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_2} \cup \left\{\frac{G(z)}{(z-s_0)(z-s)} : \ s \in \mathcal{Z}_{G_1}, s \neq s_0\right\}$$

in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. It is clear that the latter system has codimension at most 2 in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Hence, the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi} \ominus \overline{\mathrm{Span}}\left\{\dfrac{G_1(z)}{(z-s_0)(z-s)} : \ s \in \mathcal{Z}_{G_1}, s \neq s_0\right\}$ is either 1 or 2. By Theorem 2.9, it can be only 1.

From (5.2) we immediately get that $\mathrm{Clos}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}} \mathcal{P}$ has codimension 1 in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}$. By Proposition 3.3 Λ_2 is synthesable.

Step 3: Proof of the claim. We will use the fact that $\tilde{G}_1 = \dfrac{G_1}{z-s_0}$ is a lacunary canonical product with zeros $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Put

$$H_N(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1 - \frac{z}{s_k}\right), \qquad N \geq 1.$$

It is sufficient to show that the polynomials $\dfrac{H_N(z)}{z-s_l}$, $N \geq l$ tend to $\dfrac{\tilde{G}_1(z)}{z-s_l}$ for any l in $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2,\pi}$, or, equivalently, that $\dfrac{G_2 H_N}{z-s_l}$ tend to $\dfrac{G}{(z-s_0)(z-s_l)}$ in $\mathcal{P}W_\pi$. Note that, for $N > l$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left|\frac{H_N(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}\right| = \left|\frac{\tilde{G}_1(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}\right| \cdot \prod_{k>N} \cdot \left|1 - \frac{x+i}{s_k}\right|^{-1} \leq \frac{|\tilde{G}_1(x+i)|}{|x+i-s_N|} \cdot \frac{|s(x)|}{|x-s(x)|+1},$$

where $s(x)$ is the point from $\{s_k\}$ closest to x.

Since $\left|\dfrac{H_N(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}\right| \lesssim |\tilde{G}_1(x+i)|$ (with the constant depending on l, but uniformly with respect to $N > l$) and $\tilde{G}_1 G_2 \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, we conclude that

$$\int_{|x|>A} \left|\frac{H_N(x+i)}{x+i-s_l} - \frac{\tilde{G}_1(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}\right|^2 |G_2(x+i)|^2 dx \lesssim \int_{|x|>A} |\tilde{G}_1(x+i) G_2(x+i)|^2 dx \to 0, \quad A \to \infty.$$

Since $\dfrac{H_N(x+i)}{x+i-s_l} - \dfrac{\tilde{G}_1(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets, it follows that choosing first A and then N sufficiently large, we can make the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{H_N(x+i)}{x+i-s_l} - \frac{\tilde{G}_1(x+i)}{x+i-s_l}\right|^2 |G_2(x+i)|^2 dx$$

as small as we wish. It is however well known that $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \asymp \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}+i)}$, $F \in \mathcal{P}W_\pi$, which completes the proof of the claim. □

References

[1] E. Abakumov, A. Baranov, Y. Belov, *Localization of zeros for Cauchy transforms*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2015** (2015), 15, 6699–6733.

[2] A. Aleman, A. Baranov, Yu. Belov, *Subspaces of C^∞ invariant under the differentiation*, J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), 2421–2439.

[3] A. Aleman, B. Korenblum, *Derivation-invariant subspaces of C^∞*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **8** (2008), 2, 493–512.

[4] A. Baranov, Yu. Belov, A. Borichev, *Hereditary completeness for systems of exponentials and reproducing kernels*, Adv. Math. **235** (2013), 525–554.

[5] A. Baranov, Yu. Belov, A. Borichev, Spectral synthesis in de Branges spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* (*GAFA*) **25** (2015), 2, 417–452.

[6] Y. Belov, *Complementability of exponential systems*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 353 (2015), 215-218;

[7] A. Beurling and P. Malliavin, *On the closure of characters and the zeros of entire functions*, Acta Math. **118** (1967), 79–93.

[8] L. de Branges, *Hilbert Spaces of Entire Functions*, Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1968.

[9] V. Havin, B. Jöricke, *The Uncertainty Principle in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[10] N. Makarov, A. Poltoratski, *Meromorphic inner functions, Toeplitz kernels and the uncertainty principle*, Perspectives in Analysis, Math. Phys. Stud. 27, Springer, Berlin, 2005, 185–252.

[11] J. Ortega-Cerdà, K. Seip, *Fourier frames*, Ann. Math. **155** (2002), 789–806.

[12] R. M. Redheffer, *Completeness of sets of complex exponentials*, Adv. Math. **24** (1977), 1, 1–62.

[13] R. Romanov, *Canonical systems and de Branges spaces,* http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6022.

[14] L. Schwartz, *Théorie générale des fonctions moyenne-périodiques*, Ann. of Math. (2) **48** (1947), 857–929.

[15] R. Young, *On complete biorthogonal system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 3, 537–540.

Anton Baranov,

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia,

National Research University Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg, Russia,

anton.d.baranov@gmail.com

Yurii Belov,

Chebyshev Laboratory, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia,

j_b_juri_belov@mail.ru